A realizational approach to Khaling Morphology

Flore Picard (Université Paris-Sorbonne)

I. Introduction

Khaling is a Kiranti language of the Sino-Tibetan family, spoken mainly • All vowels may be long, except for A which is in complementary disin the district of Solukhumbu, in eastern Nepal. tribution with the long diphthong *o*_D.

-**•** ()

 $CO \neq \Lambda$

a

• 14 500 speakers (Lewis et al., 2016)

• 2 dialects: Northern and Southern

Consonant system :

onsonant system :			Vowel system			
		Bilabial	Coronal	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Plosive	voiceless	р	t	ts	k	?
	aspirated	ph	t ^h	tsh	k ^h	
	voiced	b	d	dz	g	
	aspirated	b ^h	d ^h	dzh	gh	
Nasal		m	n		ŋ	
Fricative			S	Ç		ĥ
Approximant		W	r	j		
	lateral		1			

• There are two contrasting tones : a level tone (written \overline{V}) and a falling tone (written \hat{V}). They are restricted to long vowels and the diphthong *0*0.

Khaling verb stems are all monosyllabic, with a CVC or CV form (Jacques, 2016), yet their inflection is very complex. Stem alternations are present in every verb and intricately combine morphological and phonological processes. These stems then take a number of affixes, as they inflect for both subject and object, in a direct/inverse system : person hierarchy $(1^{st} > 2^{nt} > 3^{rd})$ conditions the inflected form.

The Khaling verbal template (partially adapted from Jacques *et al.*, 2012):

	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Prefix	Stem	Aux.	Person	TAM	Person	Person	Reflexive	Number
The present poster describes only past and non-past personal forms.									
Forms including auxiliaries, reflexive pronouns and numbers will not									

II. Method

In order to analyze Khaling inflectional data, we use a framework developed by G. Stump (Stump, 2001; Stewart et Stump, 2007; Bonami et Stump, 2014): Paradigm Function Morphology (PFM), a highly heuristic formalization of paradigm complexity. We base our work on Sino-Tibetan languages specialist Guillaume Jacques (2016)'s collected data and his own analysis of Khaling inflectional classes.

Extensive stem alternation and syntactic complexity in languages are difficult to handle and have often been described with *ad hoc* phonological rules and diachronic considerations, which are only applicable to a single system. Working from a synchronic approach, we believe that they can be modeled as sets of simplex rules using PFM, based primarily on morphology, with Rules of Stem Choice (RSC) and Rules of Exponence (RE), phonology being secondarily formalized in a block of Morphophonological Rules (MPR).

be treated here.

III. Partial paradigm of the transitive verb *lop*

Lop, "to catch" (from Jacques *et al.,* 2012)

(First person dual and plural forms, as well as some of the inverse forms, have been excluded for concision.)

Subject > Object	-Past	Past	
1Sg>3Sg	lob-u	lob-u-t-a	
1Sg>3Du	lob-u-su	lob-u-t-a-su	
1Sg>3Pl	lob-u-nu	lob-u-t-a-nu	
2Sg>3Sg	?i-lēːb-ʉ	?i-lê:p-t-ε	
2Sg >3Du	?i-lôːp-su	?i-lêːp-t-ε-su	
2Sg >3Pl	?i-lôːp-nu	?i-lêːp-t-ε-nu	
2Du >3	?i-l⊖p-i	?i-l⊖p-i-t-i	
2Pl >3	?i-loôm-ni	?i-lθp-t-ε-nu	
3Sg >3	lē ːb- ʉ	lêrp-t-ε	
3Du >3	lê:p-su	lêːp-t-ε-su	
3P1>3	lêːp-nu	lêːp-t-ε-nu	
3/2Sg >1Sg	? i-lo ô m- ŋʌ	?i-lөp-л-t-л	
3/2Du >1Sg	?i-loɔ̂m-ŋʌ-su	?i-lop-a-t-a-su	

Khaling shows a high level of paradigm complexity, with both extensive stem alternations and numerous affix slots. For this reason, we have chosen to focus our analysis mainly on one inflectional class.

The verb *lop* belongs to the first inflectional class (IC1), according to Jacques (2016), which is the most common class, made up of CVC-stem verbs. The last two rows of the table show two inverse forms, where the object is hierarchically higher than the subject. Their main distinction from the the rest of the paradigm is their specific prefix ?*i*-.

IV. Stem alternations

Both the verb's vowel and second consonant show alternations, with quantity, length and tone alternations in the vowel. These make up a complex paradigm with a high number of different stems. We describe these stems with Rules of Stem Choice (RSC), where stem $X_1(l \oplus p)$ is the default weak stem, i.e. a rule where the property set is left blank and applies to every cell not filled by another rule. It is contrasted with stem *Y* (*lo* $\hat{}$ *m*), which is the strong stem. *X*₃ is a longer allomorph of the *X*¹ stem and is also weak (Jacques, 2016; Léonard et Kihm, 2013, for the rules' formulation). The PERS (person) feature here corresponds the the person highest in the hierarchy.

Rules of Stem Choice :

 RSC_{IC1} : X₁ & (<lop, σ { }>) \leftrightarrow <lop, σ > RSC_{IC1} : X₂ & (<lop, σ{CONJ: Dir [PERS: 2 NB: Sg] [PERS: 3>3]}>) $\leftrightarrow < l\hat{e}: p, \sigma >$ RSC_{IC1} : Y & (<lop, σ { CONJ: Inv TAM: -Past PERS: 1 NB: Sg}>) \leftrightarrow <lo3̂m, σ>

Abbreviations :

DU – Dual C – Consonant CONJ – Conjugation DIR – Direct IC – Inflectional class INV – Inverse MPR – Morphophonological Rules NB – Number PERS – Person PFM – Paradigm Function Morphology PL – Plural PRES – Present RE – Rules of Exponence RSC – Rules of Stem Choice SG – Singular TAM – Tense, Aspect, Mode V – Vowel -Past – Non-Past

VI. Morphophonology

Affixes are formalized with Rules of Exponence (RE). Each affix slot is for- Block IV is a second block of person agreement : it corresponds to the person malized as a block of rules, so that no two rules in a single block can apply to highest in the hierarchy. **Block IV** ("highest" person agreement II) the same form. The first block (RSC being block 0) gives the prefix, which is RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { PERS: 1 NB: Sg} \leftrightarrow X₁ Λ polyvalent as it is the same for the second person and the inverse alignement. RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { TAM: Past CONJ: Dir PERS: 1 NB: Sg} \leftrightarrow X₁ Λ **Block I** (prefix) RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { TAM: -Past CONJ: Dir PERS: 1 NB: Sg} \leftrightarrow X₁*u* $RE_{IC1}: I, X_{v}, \sigma\{CONJ: Inv\} \leftrightarrow ?iX$ RE_{IC1} : IV, Xv, σ { TAM: -Past CONJ: Inv PERS: 1 NB: Sg} \leftrightarrow YŋA $RE_{IC1}: I, X_{v}, \sigma\{CONJ: Dir PERS: 2\} \leftrightarrow ?iX$ RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { PERS: 2 NB: Du} \leftrightarrow X₁*i* Block II corresponds to the tense suffix *t*, with a single rule: RE_{IC1} : IV, Xv, σ { PERS: 2 NB: Pl} \leftrightarrow Yni **Block II** (tense suffix) RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { PERS: 2 NB: Pl TAM: Past} \leftrightarrow X₁*nu* $RE_{IC1}: II, X_v, \sigma\{TAM: Past\} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}t$ RE_{IC1}: IV, Xv, σ { TAM: -Past CONJ: Dir PERS: 2sg>3sg/3sg>3} \leftrightarrow X₂tt Block III is the first person agreement block : it corresponds to a "trapped" $\operatorname{RE}_{\operatorname{IC1}}: \operatorname{IV}, \operatorname{Xv}, \sigma\{\} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{X2}$

V. Affixes

morpheme, only present in the past tense, expressing the highest person in the hierarchy.

Block III ("highest "person agreement I) RE_{IC1}: III, X_v, σ {TAM: Past CONJ: Dir PERS 1} \leftrightarrow X*u* RE_{IC1}: III, X_v, σ {TAM: Past CONJ: Dir PERS 2} \leftrightarrow X*i* RE_{IC1}: III, X_V, σ {TAM: Past CONJ: Inv PERS 1} \leftrightarrow X_A

VII. Other inflectional classes

There are two other inflectional classes in Khaling :

- A class of verbs with an additional stem of the form CVCt, but a simpler vowel opposition (either length or quality, but not both).

Block V gives the other person agreement : the lowest in the hierarchy. Block V ("lowest" person agreement) $RE_{IC1}: V, Xv, \sigma\{PERS:3 NB:Du\} \leftrightarrow Xsu$ $RE_{IC1}: V, Xv, \sigma\{PERS:3 NB:Pl\} \leftrightarrow Xnu$

Khaling consonantal and tonal alternations are tightly linked to the person endings, and they can be mainly resolved by morphophonological rules. These rules model the phonological interactions between stems and affixes.

Morphophonological Rules :

• Epenthetic ε :

 $MPR_{IC1} : <t<(CV) >> \leftrightarrow <t\epsilon<(CV) >> / _#$

• Consonant voicing and vowel centering before -u:

 $MPR_{IC1} : < l_{\Theta}p < u > > \leftrightarrow < lob < u > >$

- Consonant voicing and tone lowering before -u:
- $MPR_{IC1} : < l\hat{\theta}: p < u > > \leftrightarrow < l\bar{\theta}: b < u > >$

VIII. Conclusion

Khaling verbal paradigms are truly complex, in terms of both stem alternations and affixal template. The PFM approach highlights this intricacy, but it also proves to be highly heuristical, as it allows us the disentangle the morphological and phonological processes taking part in paradigm construction. Our modelization shows that Khaling uses a concatenative strategy in its affixal morphology, allowing to combine a limited number of forms to make up a complex paradigm, that stem alternations rely primarily on strong/weak vowel opposition, with secondary length changes, and that consonant and tone modifications depend completely on the phonological interaction with the suffixes.

References :

BONAMI, Olivier et STUMP, Gregory, 2014 : Paradigm Function Morphology. In HIPPISLEY, Andrew et STUMP, Gregory, éditeurs : The Cambridge Handbook of Morphology. In press, Cambridge, cambridge university press édition.

JACQUES, Guillaume, 2016 : Tonogenesis and tonal alternations in Khaling. In PALANCAR, Enrique L. et LÉONARD, Jean Léo, éditeurs : Tone and Inflection New Facts and New Perspectives., volume 296 de Trends in Linguistics Studies and Monographs, pages 41–66. Mouton De Gruyter, Berlin. ISBN 978-3-11-045002-6.

• A class of open root verbs, whose exponents differ somewhat, although the making strategy remains the same.

Intransitive verbs share the same classes. Here is an example of an IC_1 intransitive verb :

Singular forms of the intransitive verb *k*^h*ot*, "to go" (Jacques *et al.*, 2012)

	Singular					
	1st person	2nd person	3rd person			
-Past	k ^h oəj-ŋa	?i-k ^h oɔj	k ^h ooj			
Past	$k^h \Theta s$ -tA	$i-k^h \Theta s-t\epsilon$	$k^h \Theta s$ -t ϵ			

The suffixes correspond for the most part to the inverse forms of *lop*. The main stem alternation, between a short consonant and a diphthong, is equally similar, though the secondary contrast between the short and long consonant does not appear here. Rules of Stem Choice :

 RCR_{IC1} : X & (<k^hot, σ { }>) \leftrightarrow <k^h Θ s, σ > RCR_{IC1} : Y & (<k^hot, σ{[PERS: 1 NB: Pl] [TAM: -Past [NB: Sg] [PERS: 2/3 NB: Pl]]}>) $\leftrightarrow < k^{h}o_{2}j, \sigma >$

Accordingly, we can explain the structural complexity of Khaling verbal morphology with sets of rules that reveal simplex mechanisms which cannot be discerned at first glance.

JACQUES, Guillaume, RAI, Dhan Bahadur, LAHAUSSOIS, Aimée et MICHAILOVSKY, Boyd, 2012 : An Overview of Khaling Verbal Morphology. In FILPPULA, Markku et KLEMOLA, Juhani, éditeurs : *Language and Linguistics*, volume 13, pages 1095–1170.

LÉONARD, Jean Léo et KIHM, Alain, 2013 : The accentuation of Lithuanian nominal paradigms: a (very) tentative realizational approach. In Flexion et Ton : Parcimonie et Modélisation / Disentangling the inflectional role of tone. Université Paris 3, Journées d'études internationales (exemplier).

LEWIS, M. Paul, SIMONS, Gary F. et FENNIG, Charles D., 2016 : Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Nineteenth edition. SIL International, Dallas, Texas. URL http://www.ethnologue.com/language/klr.

STEWART, Thomas et STUMP, Gregory, 2007 : Paradigm Function Morphology and the Morphology-Syntax Interface. In RAMCHAND, Gillian et REISS, Charles, éditeurs : The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Interfaces, pages 383–421.

STUMP, Gregory T., 2001 : Inflectional morphology: a theory of paradigm structure. Numéro 93 in Cambridge studies in linguistics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York.